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Motivation

Project with real-time meteorological data streams
- No possibility for complex pre-processing

Aim: to study the effect of animated display for bivariate visualization
- Colour design based on previous knowledge of bivariate visualization

Analysis tasks of two spatio-temporal datasets
- Anomalies: where the datasets do not match
  - One phenomenon twice (e.g. model and observations)
- Correlation (instant or lagged)
  - Two separate phenomena
Testing the combination visualizations

Two animated maps with different combinations

• Different datasets
• Different analysis tasks
  - Different tasks demand different colour design

Group interviews to evaluate the success of the visualizations
Comparison of model and observations – Rain example

Assumption: modelled and observed rain match

Visualization considerations:
- Where model and observations do not match
  - Complementary colours
- Where model and observations do match
  - Combination forms neutral grey
- No "good/bad" or "real/fake" associations
Rain example

http://ankka.github.io/psychic-nemesis/examples/9a.html
Causality between two phenomena – Pollen example

Assumption: high relative air humidity ( > 70%) removes high birch pollen concentrations ( > 50 grains/m$^3$)

- Visualization considerations:
  - Two colours which together form a third, easily separable colour
  - No classification, only binary values

Pollen example

http://ankka.github.io/psychic-nemesis/examples/9b.html
Evaluation of the visualizations

Three group interviews with 4-5 participants: 
1) students, 2) GIS experts, and 3) professionals in meteorology

The groups were asked to give their opinions about:
- Used colours and their suitability for the task
- Classification
- Background map

Concerns that arose from all three groups (or from two of the groups, without differing opinion in the third group) were analysed
Results from the interviews

The tasks and potential users were not defined clearly enough
- "Anomalies" or "correlation" was not enough
- Typical problem with web-based applications
- The importance and visualization of blue humidity layer was questioned
  - Yellow pollen and green union would be enough

The effect of geometry and movement
- Neutral grey combination (rain example) was easily missed or mixed with other light, greyish values
  - Caused by the geometry of the radar images
  - Grey is sensitive for the neighbour colours
- Green combination of the datasets (pollen example) was seen as a third, separate phenomenon
  - The datasets did not move logically in relation to each other
Conclusions

The results of the interviews indicate that our visualizations did not work satisfyingly

- False interpretations and associations are possible

Colour use guidelines designed for static bivariate maps do not seem to work well with moving phenomena

- The characteristics of the datasets have a great effect
  - Geometry (dappled vs. solid)
  - Behaviour (movement, coverage)
  - Correspondence of the model